

A Community Roadmap to Robust Science in High-throughput Applications <u>robustscience.org</u>

# Workflows Interoperability Rafael Ferreira Da Silva ISI, USC

Supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grants number 2028881, 2028923, 2028930, 2028955, and 2028956.

### **Scientific Workflows**

As workflows continue to be adopted by scientific projects and user communities, they are becoming **more complex** 



Catering to these workflow features and demands requires **workflow systems research** and **development at several levels**, from algorithms and systems all the way to user interfaces





AD19 NASA

Workflows are being designed that can analyze terabyte-scale datasets, be composed of millions of individual tasks that execute for milliseconds up to several hours, process data streams, and process static data in object stores

### **Workflows Interoperability**

To a varying degree, workflow systems implement separately:

- Language for wiring workflow inputs/outputs
- Plugin system for connecting possible (often cmd line) tools
- **Control** mechanisms (e.g. fault tolerance, loops, choices)
- Data management facilities
- Possible execution backends
- Ways to connect **multiple workflows** (e.g. nesting)
- **Reproducibility** aspect for sharing workflows
- Provenance recording/logging





### **Workflows Interoperability**

There has been an explosion of workflow (orchestration) technologies in the last ten years. Each one serves a different user community or underlying compute engine, albeit with substantial technical and conceptual overlap.

Underlying reasons for divergence:

- Use cases with completely different workflow structures.
- Resources with very different optimization goals.
- Execution systems with fundamentally different capabilities

There are some missed opportunities for **interoperability**:

- Can user workflows be ported between systems?
- Can provenance be captured in similar ways?
- Can workflow systems be plugged into different engines?



#### **Workflows Interoperability Efforts**

Task execution: DRMAA, GA4GH APIs (TRS, WES), OGF (JSDL, OGSA)

Data access: S3, DRS, GridFTP, ...

Syntactic: Common Workflow Language, Workflow Description Language

Semantic: Bioschemas, EDAM, wfdesc, Biocompute Object, IWIR Metaworkflows, CWFR

Packaging: RO-Crate, BioConda, BioContainers (Docker/Singularity), Debian-Med

Data: HDF5, VOTable, CSV On the Web, SBML (COMBINE), HL7 FHIR, DFDL

Metadata: DCAT2, Codemeta, Datacite, schema.org, W3C PROV

**Repository**: WorkflowHub.eu, Dockstore, nf-core, PegasusHub, Galaxy Toolshed, bio.tools

Platforms and Hardware: HTC, HPC, OpenCL, Rosetta 2



## **AI Workflows**

*Al Workflows* have artificial intelligence / machine learning systems as workflow components, sub-components and/or orchestrators.

Interoperability is even harder due to the following challenges:

- Data driven computing
- Heterogeneity in software and hardware
- Distributed, edge and high-frequency computing
- Simulation results are not necessarily the product
- Leveraging fast-paced developments, driven by industry, not science



### **FEEDBACK OPPORTUNITIES**

This project needs your feedback and there are 3 ways that you can contribute:

- No. 1 Before the Cafe: On the <u>website</u> there is a form available, per cafe, where you can provide use cases for the session in relation to the topic question.
- No. 2 At the Cafe: Contribute to the <u>MURAL</u> design-thinking application tool during and after the session.
- No. 3 After the Cafe: Complete the post-workshop survey designed by the Assessment Team.

Visit the project website at: robustscience.org